

1 SHAYLA R. MYERS (SBN 264054)  
2 LEGAL AID FOUNDATION  
3 OF LOS ANGELES  
4 1550 W. 8<sup>th</sup> Street  
5 Los Angeles, CA 90013  
6 Telephone: 213-640-3983  
7 Facsimile: 213-640-3988  
8 Email: [smyers@lafla.org](mailto:smyers@lafla.org)

Electronically FILED by  
Superior Court of California,  
County of Los Angeles  
2/20/2026 4:28 PM  
David W. Slayton,  
Executive Officer/Clerk of Court,  
By J. Abraham, Deputy Clerk

9 REBECCA CARR MILLER (SBN 317405)  
10 HILDA CHAN (SBN 285699)  
11 RICHARD A. ROTHSCHILD (SBN 67356)  
12 WESTERN CENTER ON LAW & POVERTY  
13 3701 Wilshire Blvd., #208  
14 Los Angeles, CA 90010  
15 Telephone: 213-235-2642  
16 Facsimile: 213-487-0242  
17 Email: [rmiller@wclp.org](mailto:rmiller@wclp.org)  
18 [hchan@wclp.org](mailto:hchan@wclp.org)  
19 [rrothschild@wclp.org](mailto:rrothschild@wclp.org)

20 *Attorneys for Petitioner CD 11 Coalition for Human Rights*

21 *[List of Counsel continued on next page]*

22 SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA  
23 FOR THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

24 CD 11 Coalition for Human Rights,  
25  
26 Petitioner / Plaintiff,  
27  
28 v.  
29 CITY OF LOS ANGELES, and Does 1-30,  
30  
31 Respondents / Defendants.

Case No. 26STCP00040

**PLAINTIFF'S NOTICE OF RULING**

The Hon. Curtis A. Kin, Dept. 86

Hearing: February 19, 2026  
Time: 1:30 p.m.

Action filed: January 5, 2026  
Trial Date: None Set

1 **Additional Counsel**

2 ERIN NEFF (SBN 326579)  
3 DISABILITY RIGHTS EDUCATION & DEFENSE FUND  
4 3075 Adeline Street, Ste. 210  
5 Berkeley, CA 94703-2578  
6 Telephone: 510-644-2555  
7 Email: [eneff@dredf.org](mailto:eneff@dredf.org)

8 ADRIENNA WONG (SBN 282026)  
9 ACLU FOUNDATION OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA  
10 P.O. Box 811370  
11 Los Angeles, CA 90081  
12 Telephone: 213-977-9500  
13 Facsimile: 213-915-0219  
14 Email: [awong@clusocal.org](mailto:awong@clusocal.org)

1 **TO THE COURT, ALL PARTIES, AND THEIR ATTORNEYS OF RECORD:**

2 PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that on February 19, 2026, the Honorable Curtis A. Kin issued the  
3 foregoing Order, attached hereto as Exhibit 1:

- 4 1. Minute Order re: Order to Show Cause Re: Issued Alternative Wirt of Mandate.

5  
6 Respectfully submitted,

7 Dated: February 20, 2026

LEGAL AID FOUNDATION OF LOS ANGELES

8 By:  \_\_\_\_\_

9 Shayla Myers

10 Attorneys for CD11 Coalition for Human Rights

# EXHIBIT 1

**SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES**

**Civil Division**

Central District, Stanley Mosk Courthouse, Department 86

**26STCP00040**

**CD 11 COALITION FOR HUMAN RIGHTS vs CITY OF LOS ANGELES**

February 19, 2026

1:30 PM

Judge: Honorable Curtis A. Kin  
Judicial Assistant: Mysty Mort  
Courtroom Assistant: Rosa Monterroso

CSR: None  
ERM: None  
Deputy Sheriff: None

---

---

**APPEARANCES:**

For Petitioner(s): Rebecca Carr Miller via LA CourtConnect; Shayla Myers; Erin H Neff via LA CourtConnect -- See additional appearances below.

For Defendant(s): Gabriel Dermer appearing for City of Los Angeles via LA CourtConnect

---

---

**NATURE OF PROCEEDINGS:** Order to Show Cause Re: Issued Alternative Writ of Mandate

The matter is called for hearing.

The Court provides a tentative ruling which is reviewed by counsel for each side. Counsel for each side submit on the tentative ruling which becomes the order of the Court as follows:

On 1/13/26, the Court issued an Order that an alternative writ of mandate issue compelling respondent City of Los Angeles (1) to vacate its instruction to the City Administrative Office, Los Angeles Department of Transportation, Los Angeles Police Department and the City Attorney's Office to implement AB 630 and (2) to comply with its mandatory duty to follow the law and, pursuant to Vehicle Code Section 22851.3, only authorize the disposal of vehicles with an estimated value of \$500. (1/13/26 Order; see also 1/13/26 Minute Order.) The Court also ordered that, in the alternative, respondent shall show cause in this Court on February 19 2026 why it has not complied with the alternative writ of mandate. (1/13/26 Order; see also 1/13/26 Minute Order.)

On 2/2/26, in a half-page response, entitled "Opposition to Petitioner's Application for Alternative Writ," respondent states that "[b]ecause the City has not actually implemented AB 630, no writ can issue." (Opp. at 1.) Explaining its position, respondent contends: "[T]he City Council instructed certain City departments to 'report back' on implementing AB 630 . . . That's it." (Opp. at 1.) As a factual matter, this is incorrect. In no uncertain terms, the City Council instructed the City Administrative Officer "to immediately implement AB 630 and report back in 30 days with an overview of its implementation plant." (Pet. Reply RJN Exs. 2, 3.) As a legal matter, the City is also incorrect. A writ of mandate may issue to prevent a City's implementation of unlawful Charter provisions or ordinances. (See, e.g., *People ex rel. Bonta v. City of Huntington Beach* (2025) 115 Cal.App.5th 962.) The City having failed to show cause,

**SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES**

**Civil Division**

Central District, Stanley Mosk Courthouse, Department 86

**26STCP00040**

February 19, 2026

**CD 11 COALITION FOR HUMAN RIGHTS vs CITY OF LOS ANGELES**

1:30 PM

Judge: Honorable Curtis A. Kin  
Judicial Assistant: Mysty Mort  
Courtroom Assistant: Rosa Monterroso

CSR: None  
ERM: None  
Deputy Sheriff: None

---

---

the writ of mandate shall issue.

Notably, in its response, the City does not contest that it lacks authority under AB 630 to implement a program to dispose of recreational vehicles with an estimated value of \$4,000 or less. Nor could it. AB 630 unambiguously states that “[t]he Counties of Alameda and Los Angeles may implement a program to dispose of recreational vehicles.” (Pet. Reply RJN Ex. A at 1-2.) AB 630 provides no such authority to the City of Los Angeles. Indeed, as made clear in the City’s Request for Judicial Notice in opposition to petitioner’s ex parte application for an alternative writ, newly proposed legislation (AB 647) recognizes that AB 630 authorizes only the Counties of Alameda and Los Angeles to implement programs to dispose of abandoned recreational vehicles, as the new legislation specifically and explicitly authorizes public agencies within those counties to implement such programs. (Resp. RJN Ex. C.) Further, the City does not contest that, in the absence of any authority under AB 630, its ability to dispose of vehicles is limited by current law under Vehicle Code Section 22851.3 for vehicles valued at \$500 or less.

For the foregoing reasons, the writ of mandate shall issue as requested by petitioners.

Counsel for plaintiff shall give notice.

Additional appearance for Petitioner(s):  
Adrienna Wong

1 **PROOF OF SERVICE**  
2 ***CD 11 COALITION FOR HUMAN RIGHTS vs. CITY OF LOS ANGELES, et al.***  
3 **Case No. 26STCP00040**

4 STATE OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

5 I am employed in the County of Los Angeles, State of California. I am over the age of 18 and not  
6 a party to the within action. My business address is Legal Aid Foundation of Los Angeles, 1550 W. 8<sup>th</sup>  
7 Street, Los Angeles, CA 90017. My electronic service address is [lschmidt@lafla.org](mailto:lschmidt@lafla.org).

8 On February 20, 2026, I served the within document(s) described as:

9 **PLAINTIFF'S NOTICE OF RULING AND EXHIBIT 1**

10 on the interested parties in this action as stated below:

11 HYDEE FELDSTEIN SOTO, City Attorney  
12 DENISE C. MILLS, Chief Deputy City Attorney  
13 KATHLEEN A. KENEALY, Chief Assistant City Attorney  
14 GABRIEL S. DERMER, Assistant City Attorney  
200 North Main Street  
City Hall East, 6th Floor  
Los Angeles, CA 90012  
Tel.: (213) 978-7558  
Fax: (213) 978-7011  
Email: [gabriel.dermer@lacity.org](mailto:gabriel.dermer@lacity.org)

15 *Attorneys for Respondent City of Los Angeles*

16  **BY ELECTRONIC SERVICE:** Pursuant to a stipulation, court order, and/or consent  
17 by/between the parties to this action, and/or C.C.P. § 1010.6, CRC § 2.251, and Local Rule 3.4, I  
18 caused said document(s) to be transmitted via electronic mail from my electronic service address  
19 indicted above to the electronic service address(es) indicted on the below service list provided by  
those party(ies) as their service address(es) for this case.

20 I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is  
true and correct.

21 Executed on February 20, 2026, at Los Angeles, California.

22   
23 \_\_\_\_\_  
24 Liz Schmidt